

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|---------|------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/066,390 | C | 02/01/2002 | Hal S. Padgett | P-LG 4878 | 4639 |
| 23601 | 7590 | 11/28/2003 | | EXAMINER | |
| CAMPBEL | L & FLO | RES LLP | FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN | | |
| 4370 LA JO 7TH FLOOR | | AGE DRIVE | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| SAN DIEGO | | 122 | 1634 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 11/28/200 | 3 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Applicati | n No | Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summany | 10/066,39 | | PADGETT ET AL. | | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examin r | | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | | Jeffrey Fr | | 1634 | 1-1 | | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sh et with the correspondenc addr ss Period for Reply | | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | | | |
| Status | Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ | | | | | | | | | |
| | · | ——. his action is no | n-final | | | | | | | |
| •— | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | 4) Claim(s) 1-65 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | | |
| 5)□ | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | | |
| 6)□ |)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> are subject to restriction and/ | or election req | uirement. | | | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Exam | niner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10) | 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | | | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO_413) Paner No(| 'e) | | | | | |
| 2) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(| | 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/066,390 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-63, drawn to methods of increasing nucleic acid diversity, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - II. Claims 64-65, drawn to kits, classified in class 435, subclass 810.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions in Group II and in Group I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product of Group II only clearly comprises a ligase activity, with the elements of claim 64 being uncertain. Therefore, the product may be used in any method requiring ligation from standard nucleic acid cloning to ligase chain reaction, as well as the method of Group I.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations

of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

5. A telephone call was made to Thomas Gallegos on November 24, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Fredman whose telephone number is currently 703-308-6568. In mid January, 2004, when TC 1600 relocates to the new USPTO facility in Alexandria, the examiner's phone number will become 571-272-0742. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 703-308-1119. The supervisor's new

Application/Control Number: 10/066,390 Page 5

Art Unit: 1634

telephone number in mid January will be 571-272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is currently 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Jeffrey Fredman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1634